Intraspecific variability in growth response to environmental fluctuations modulates the stabilizing effect of species diversity on forest growth

Raphaël Aussenac^{*,1} , Yves Bergeron¹, Claudele Ghotsa Mekontchou¹, Dominique Gravel², Kamil Pilch³ and Igor Drobyshev^{1,4}

¹Chaire Industrielle CRSNG-UQAT-UQAM en Aménagement Forestier Durable, Institut de Recherche Sur Les Forêts, Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue (UQAT), 445 boul. de l'Université, Rouyn-Noranda, QC J9X 5E4, Canada; ²Chaire de Recherche en écologie Intégrative, Département de Biologie, Faculté Des Sciences, Université de Sherbrooke, 2500 Boulevard Université, Sherbrooke, QC J1K 2R1, Canada; ³Faculty of Biology and Agriculture, University of Rzeszów, Agroecology Dept., ul. Ćwiklińskiej 1A, 35-601 Rzeszów, Poland; and ⁴Southern Swedish Forest Research Centre, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box 49, 230 53 Alnarp, Sweden

Summary

1. Differences between species in their response to environmental fluctuations cause asynchronized growth series, suggesting that species diversity may help communities buffer the effects of environmental fluctuations. However, within-species variability of responses may impact the stabilizing effect of growth asynchrony.

2. We used tree ring data to investigate the diversity–stability relationship and its underlying mechanisms within the temperate and boreal mixed woods of Eastern Canada. We worked at the individual tree level to take into account the intraspecific variability of responses to environmental fluctuations.

3. We found that species diversity stabilized growth in forest ecosystems. The asynchrony of species' response to climatic fluctuations and to insect outbreaks explained this effect. We also found that the intraspecific variability of responses to environmental fluctuations was high, making the stabilizing effect of diversity highly variable.

4. *Synthesis.* Our results are consistent with previous studies suggesting that the asynchrony of species' response to environmental fluctuations drives the stabilizing effect of diversity. The intraspecific variability of these responses modulates the stabilizing effect of species diversity. Interactions between individuals, variation in tree size and spatial heterogeneity of environmental conditions could play a critical role in the stabilizing effect of diversity.

Key-words: biodiversity, dendrochronology, growth asynchrony, plant population and community dynamics, plant–climate interactions, plant–herbivore interactions, plant–plant interactions, tree growth

Introduction

Species diversity plays a key role in ecosystem functioning, particularly by stabilizing productivity through time (Loreau *et al.* 2001; Hooper *et al.* 2005, 2012; Cardinale *et al.* 2012). It has been suggested that species diversity may be critical to ensure ecosystem sustainability in the face of environmental fluctuations. Both theoretical (Yachi & Loreau 1999; Loreau & de Mazancourt 2013; de Mazancourt *et al.* 2013) and grassland experiments (Tilman 1999; Isbell, Polley & Wilsey

© 2016 The Authors. Journal of Ecology © 2016 British Ecological Society

2009; Hector *et al.* 2010) suggest that differences in species response to environmental fluctuations are the primary mechanism underlying the stabilizing effect of diversity. As a result, these differences generate asynchronous population dynamics (Loreau 2010), enabling productivity compensations among species and thereby promote the stability of the community-level productivity. Interactions among individuals (i.e. competition and facilitation) may, however, modulate the stabilizing effect of diversity. For instance, it has been shown that competition can amplify the asynchrony of population dynamics by promoting the abundance of species which are better adapted to the growing season climate (Gonzalez & Loreau 2009; Mariotte *et al.* 2013). Although there is mounting

^{*}Correspondence author. E-mail: Raphael.aussenac@uqat.ca

evidence of the involvement of these factors in the stabilizing effect of diversity, little is known about their respective contributions.

Unlike grasslands, forests offer several advantages to understanding the mechanisms that control the diversity-stability relationship. First, due to the long life span of trees, population dynamics are much slower in forest communities. As a consequence, forest composition cannot change in response to inter-annual environmental fluctuations. The stabilizing effect of diversity in tree communities would, therefore, mainly rely on the asynchrony of individuals' growth and not on the asynchrony of population dynamics. Second, long records of annual growth are available for individual trees through the use of dendrochronology, providing a longer time perspective on the asynchrony of species response to environmental fluctuations. Finally, unlike grassland communities where individuals are often difficult to define due to the common occurrence of semi-independent parts, trees are easily distinguishable from one another. This feature makes it possible to take into account the variability of individuals' response within species, which may affect the stabilizing effect of diversity. de Mazancourt et al. (2013) have demonstrated analytically that the stabilizing effect of the asynchrony of species' response to the environment decreases with intraspecific variability of response. This finding is consistent with a study conducted in tree communities (Clark 2010), which demonstrated that species having similar responses to environmental fluctuations may differ in their distributions of individuals' responses. The corollary of this observation is that individuals belonging to species with different (i.e. asynchronous) responses could have similar (i.e. synchronous) responses, which would, therefore, limit the stabilizing effect of the asynchrony of species response. Interactions among individuals and spatial heterogeneity of environmental conditions may be the source of the variability of individuals' response (Cescatti & Piutti 1998; Clark 2010; de Mazancourt et al. 2013). As a result, asynchrony of response among species has been shown to be higher between individuals occurring in the same neighbourhoods than within an entire stand (Clark 2010).

Climatic fluctuations (Fritts 1976) and insect outbreaks (Morin et al. 2009; Sutton & C. Tardif 2009) are two major drivers of the inter-annual growth variability of trees in North American forests. Since tree species typically respond differently to climatic fluctuations (Rozas, Lamas & García-González 2009; Drobyshev et al. 2013), and since insects may be host specific (Jactel & Brockerhoff 2007; Castagneyrol et al. 2013), an increase in tree diversity could help stabilize forest productivity. In the face of insect outbreaks, the stabilizing effect of diversity could not only stem from species differences in their susceptibility to insect attacks, but also from a reduction in herbivory in more diverse forests due to a 'host dilution' effect (Jactel & Brockerhoff 2007; Castagneyrol et al. 2013). Some recent studies have investigated the diversity-stability relationship in forest ecosystems in the face of extreme climatic events (Pretzsch 2005; Pretzsch, Schütze & Uhl 2013; Jucker et al. 2014) and herbivory (Jactel & Brockerhoff 2007; Castagneyrol *et al.* 2013). They concluded that diversity has a stabilizing effect on the overall productivity of mixed stands.

We used dendrochronological data (i) to determine whether tree species diversity stabilizes productivity in the temperate and boreal mixed woods of Eastern Canada and (ii) to identify the mechanisms underlying the stabilizing effect of diversity. We, therefore, paid particular attention to the intraspecific (i.e. among single trees) variability of responses to annual environmental fluctuations, whatever the mechanisms generating this variability. We conducted our analyses on pairs of individuals occurring in the same neighbourhood so that we worked with individuals that were likely to be interacting together and sharing the same microenvironmental conditions. This approach also enabled us to take into account the variability of individuals' response to environmental fluctuations while linking measures of stability to growth asynchrony. We first assessed stability as the inverse of the coefficient of variation (mean/variance) of the total growth of pairs of individuals, and compared it between monospecific and mixed pairs. We hypothesized that (H1) tree mixture promotes growth stability. We, therefore, expected stability to be higher for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to the same species. Thereafter, we decomposed the effect of diversity on stability into its effect on the mean and the variance of the total growth of pairs of individuals. We hypothesized that (H2) diversity stabilizes growth by reducing the variance of the total growth of pairs of individuals, and that, because of a higher growth asynchrony among individuals belonging to different species. We, therefore, expected the variance of the total growth to be lower for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to the same species. We also expected covariance of growth to be lower among individuals belonging to different species than among individuals belonging to the same species. Finally, using multivariate analysis, we identified individuals' response to climatic fluctuations and insect outbreaks. We hypothesized (H3) that individuals' response asynchrony to environmental fluctuations drove, at least partially, the stabilizing effect of diversity. We, therefore, expected to obtain significant correlations between environmental variables and growth, indicating that individuals' growth variability stemmed from environmental fluctuations and growth asynchrony stemmed from differences in individuals' response to these fluctuations.

Materials and methods

Data were collected at five 1 ha plots within both temperate and boreal mixed-wood stands in Eastern Canada (Fig. 1). Two boreal mixed-wood stands were sampled on the shores of the Lake Duparquet in Western Quebec, which are found within the balsam fir-white birch bioclimatic domain and at 270–275 m above sea level (a.s.l.). These two stands, D1823 (48:45791; 79:23920) and D1847 (48:50398; 79:32084), were both of fire origins established following fires occurring in 1823 and 1847 respectively (Bergeron 2000). Temperate mixed wood stands were sampled at three locations. The first

Effect of diversity on forest growth 3



Fig. 1. Study sites and bioclimatic domains of Ouébec.

stand, ABI (48-16253; 79-40121), was located in Abitibi in the balsam fir-white birch domain at the northern limit of the mixed hardwood forest subzone, 375 m a.s.l. The second stand, BIC (48-33361; 68-81771), was located in the St. Lawrence Lowlands in the balsam fir-yellow birch domain approximately at 240 m a.s.l. Finally, the third stand, SUT (45-11280; 72-54129), was located in Eastern Townships in the sugar maple-basswood domain at an elevation ranging between 645 and 690 m a.s.l. The topography was generally flat at all of the sites, except for SUT, which was on a slope facing northwest. The D1823, D1847 and ABI sites were located in the Clay Belt, a large physiographic region in western Quebec and north-eastern Ontario, characterized by generally thick clay deposits (Veillette 1994). The main soil deposit for the BIC and SUT sites was a glacial till with pockets of organic soil in local depressions.

Climate at the sites ranged from boreal continental, characterized by large variability in temperatures between warm and cold seasons, to a moister temperate climate, characterized by warmer temperatures and more precipitation. The monthly average temperature ranged between -16.9 °C in January and 17.3 °C in July for the D1823 and D1847 sites over the 1953–2013 period. Annual total precipitation was, on average, 866.6 mm. The temperature was similar at the ABI site (-16.6 °C; 17.5 °C), but annual precipitation was, on average, higher (894.3 mm). The annual average temperature ranged between -13.3 °C in January and 17.1 °C in July at BIC, and annual precipitation was, on average, 1050.4 mm. Finally, the SUT site was the warmest and the moistest site with temperatures ranging between -11.6 °C in January and 16.9 °C in July, and annual precipitation of, on average, 1464.8 mm.

All sites were mature forests stands that were undisturbed by logging, with the exception of the BIC site, which was selectively harvested prior to being designated a National Park in 1984. We considered seven species: eastern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis* L.), white spruce (*Thuja occidentalis*), trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.), balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* L.), yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis* Britton), red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.) and sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marshall).

All trees equal or above 10 cm in diameter at breast height (DBH) were measured (Fig. 2) and mapped at each site. Tree positions were used to calculate their relative distance for the neighbourhood analyses. We randomly chose 70 individuals per species and per site in five DBH classes for coring. Sampling intensity across DBH classes

was stratified to follow the DBH distribution of each species. Two cores were extracted on the opposite sides of the trunk at breast height for each of the selected trees. Cores were measured at 0.01 mm precision, cross-dated and quality checked following standard dendrochronological methods (Stokes & Smiley 1996; Speer 2010). We removed from the analyses cores with a considerable amount of wood rot making tree ring measurement impossible, yielding a total of 43–63 individuals per species and site. The analyses were performed on 2041 cores from 1078 trees (Table 1).

We obtained climate data for each site for the time period 1953– 2013 using the BioSIM 10.3 software (Régnière 1996; Régnière & St-Amant 2007). BioSIM is a collection of bioclimatic models and daily weather databases, which can generate climate variables at various temporal resolutions, using a user-supplied list of locations. For each site, BioSIM interpolated data from the eight closest weather stations using inverse distance weighting output, while adjusting for differences in latitude, longitude and elevation between the data and sites. We considered monthly mean temperatures, growth season length (period with daily means above 5 °C), total monthly precipitation, total monthly snowfall and monthly mean drought code, which reflects water content of the deep compact organic layers (Girardin & Wotton 2009).

We detrended growth series to keep only the variability associated with the annual climatic variability and to remove temporal autocorrelation. Detrending was done by first averaging growth series associated with a single tree to obtain single-tree chronologies. We then standardized these single-tree chronologies using a 32-year cubic smoothing spline with a 50% frequency response (Speer 2010). We pre-whitened the resulting series by autoregressive modelling to remove temporal autocorrelation (Cook 1987) and to obtain detrended individual chronologies. We averaged the detrended individual chronologies using a biweight robust mean to obtain detrended master chronologies for each species and site. Transformations were performed using the R package dplR (Bunn 2008). Detrended individual and master chronologies were used to analyse the climate–growth relationship, whereas raw individual chronologies were used to investigate individual and species annual growth.

Several insect outbreaks of forest tent caterpillar (Malacosoma disstria Hubner.) and spruce budworm (Choristoneura fumiferana Clem.) occurred in eastern Canada during the 1953–2013 period (Morin et al. 2009; Sutton & Tardif 2009), causing large reductions

4 R. Aussenac et al.



Fig. 2. Species and site-specific distributions of tree diameters at study sites.

Table 1. Number of trees cored per species and site. The number of cores is shown in brackets

Site	Abies balsamea	Picea glauca	Thuja occidentalis	Acer rubrum	Acer saccharum	Betula alleghaniensis	Populus tremuloides
D1823	48 (84)	47 (94)	52 (98)	_	_	_	54 (107)
D1847	51 (96)	58 (109)	54 (110)	_	_	_	52 (101)
ABI	58 (104)	47 (93)	49 (96)	52 (98)	55 (107)	_	-
BIC	63 (107)	_	_	61 (126)	59 (121)	_	62 (116)
SUT	54 (91)	_	-	-	59 (106)	43 (77)	_

in tree diameter growth and suggesting that trees responded more to defoliation events rather than to climate during these periods. We ran the analyses for two versions of chronologies, with and without insect outbreaks. To avoid insect-related signals, we removed periods of forest tent caterpillar outbreaks from aspen chronologies, and periods during which spruce budworm outbreaks occurred from white spruce and balsam fir chronologies.

We identified insect outbreaks in a two step procedure. First, we consulted the large-scale aerial surveys of defoliation, carried out by the Ministère des Forêts de la Faune et des Parcs, to obtain approximate outbreak dates (Ministère des Forêts de la Faune et des Parcs, Direction de la protection des forêts 2015). Periods of defoliation attributed to forest tent caterpillar and spruce budworm outbreaks all matched periods of abrupt growth reduction observed in the host species raw master chronologies (obtained by averaging individuals' raw chronologies). For each site, we then identified the exact outbreak dates using pointer years. These are years with particularly narrow or large rings observed in multiple tree ring series in a region (Schweingruber 1996). We identified site-specific pointer years for each species as years for which at least 70% of the trees exhibited a variation in their growth of at least 10% as compared to the previous year. We obtained the exact outbreak dates using the negative and positive pointer years enclosing the periods of defoliation-reduced growth in the raw master chronologies of host species.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Temporal stability (TS, Tilman 1999) has been commonly used to measure the stabilizing effect of species diversity on the productivity of a community. It is conventionally measured as the inverse of the coefficient of variation (mean/variance) of the total productivity. The effect of diversity on the stability of the total productivity may be decomposed into its effect on the mean and the variance. Furthermore, the variance of the total productivity may be expressed as the sum of the growth variances and covariances of all species in the community. As a consequence, species having asynchronous growth (i.e. low covariance) will decrease the community TS. The productivity variance at the community level could be decomposed further as the sum of the growth variances and covariances of all its constituent individuals. Decomposing variance this way allowed for taking into account the variability of individuals' growth (i.e. growth variances), and to link the measures of TS to growth asynchrony among individuals (i.e., growth covariances). To facilitate interpretations, we calculated TS on the total radial growth of pairs of individuals occurring in the same neighbourhood (defined as an area within 20 m from a focal tree), following the approach of Clark (2010). Proceeding this way enabled us to express the variance of the total growth, and thus TS, from a measure of asynchrony (i.e. covariance). TS was thus given by:

$$TS = \frac{\mu_{pair}}{\sqrt{\mu_{pair}^2}}$$
 eqn 1

where μ_{pair} and σ^2_{pair} were the mean and the variance of the total growth of a pair of individuals and where:

$$\sigma_{pair}^2 = \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_i^2 + 2.cov(i,j) \qquad \text{eqn } 2$$

with i and j, the growth chronologies of two individuals.

We compared the distributions of *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} or cov(i,j) obtained for pairs of individuals belonging to the same species to those obtained for pairs of individuals belonging to different species to estimate the effect of species mixture on growth stability, and to understand the mechanisms underlying it. We ran four linear models to disentangle the effect of species mixture from the effect of sites and species based on the following structure:

$$Y = \alpha + MIX + SITE + SP + \varepsilon \qquad \text{eqn } 3$$

where *Y* was alternately *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} and cov(i,j); α – the reference mean; *MIX* – the effect of mixture on the reference mean, indicating whether the measures of *Y* were calculated on trees belonging to the same species or to different species; *SITE* – the effects of sites on the reference mean; *SP* – the effect of species on the reference mean. *SP* is a factorial effect coded as dummy variables with two categories indicating the presence or the absence of each of the seven species in the pairs of individuals.

We expected that distributions of *TS* values obtained for paired individuals belonging to different species would be higher than those obtained for individuals belonging to the same species, indicating a stabilizing effect of mixture on growth. We also expected that distributions of σ_{pair}^2 , and cov(i,j) values obtained for paired individuals belonging to different species would be lower than those obtained for individuals belonging to the same species, indicating that growth asynchrony is a driver of the stabilizing effect of mixture. We performed these analyses on the 1953–2013 period, both after removing insect outbreak periods from individual chronologies and with insect outbreak periods included. Since tree neighbourhoods could have been different 60 years prior to sampling, we also conducted these analyses on the 1993–2013 period. In doing so, we assumed changes in tree neighbourhoods to be insignificant during the last 20 years.

To take into account the effect of tree size on *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} and cov(i,j) on the 1993–2013 period, we added an extra variable (*SIZE*) to eqn 3. *SIZE* was a categorical variable with three categories, indicating whether pairs of individuals were composed of small trees, a small and a large tree, or two large trees. Categories were based on tree *DBH* in 2011 relative to the median *DBH* of all trees cored on the site. Since *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} and cov(i,j) were measured on the growth of two individuals (having potentially different sizes), a continuous variable could not be used. We included *SIZE* in the analyses conducted on the 1993–2013 period only, since *DBH* measured in 2011 could not provide a sound estimate of tree sizes prior to 1993. The *SIZE* variable enabled us to account for differences in tree size distribution among species, and between pure and mixed pairs, while estimating the effect of *MIX* on *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} and cov(i,j).

We used bootstrapped response functions (Fritts 1976; Guiot 1991) to identify the climatic variables that significantly influenced species growth. In response function analysis, a detrended master chronology of a species (free from insect outbreak signals) was regressed against the principal components obtained on the set of climatic variables. Our rationale to use response functions in this study was twofold. First, we wanted to identify the climatic factors controlling species-specific growth on each

Effect of diversity on forest growth 5

site. Second, the response functions were used as a filter to select climatic variables to be introduced in the analysis assessing individuals' response to environmental fluctuations. We ran response functions on site- and species-specific detrended master chronologies and site-specific climate data sets using R package *treeclim* (Zang & Biondi 2015). In these analyses, we used 52 climatic variables of both the year concurrent with and preceding the growth period, starting from June of the year preceding the ring formation and ending with August of the year concurrent with the ring formation. July and August total snowfalls were not used in the response functions since they were null most of the time. Following the same logic, we only considered drought codes for the periods June through August for the year prior to the growing period, and May through August for the current growing season. We also used growing season lengths for the previous and the current years.

We ran redundancy analysis (RDA) to identify individuals' response to environmental fluctuations and to determine whether the asynchrony of response of individuals belonging to different species contributed to the stabilizing effect of diversity. RDA runs a set of independent multivariate regressions, similar to response functions, but then performs a constrained ordination to position the individuals in a multidimensional space of environmental factors (Legendre & Legendre 2012). The distance between individuals in the ordination indicated the asynchrony in their response to environmental fluctuations among them. Our H3 hypothesis was, therefore, contingent upon obtaining significant RDAs, indicating that environmental fluctuations controlled the variability of individuals' growth. Significant RDAs would, therefore, demonstrate that the asynchrony of individuals' response to environmental fluctuations enabled growth compensations among individuals and thus contributed to the stabilizing effect of species diversity. We ran RDAs on two sets of chronologies, without and with the growth variability caused by insect outbreaks. In the first case, we aimed to consider exclusively the effects of climatic fluctuations on growth. In the second case, we sought to identify tree's response simultaneously to both factors. For these analyses, we added a binary variable indicating the presence of each insect as an additional explanatory variable. The climatic variables used in RDAs were those previously identified in response function analysis. Detrended individual series were considered as response variables, with each annual growth value considered as an observation. RDAs were performed for each site including only years for which all species had growth data for at least 30 individuals. The significance of RDAs was tested with the F-test of the canonical relationships between growth index values and environmental variables. The explained variance values associated with each RDA provided information on the variability of individuals' response to environmental fluctuations. We computed the RDAs with the R package rdaTest (Legendre & Durand 2012)

To determine whether diversity had a stabilizing effect through the reduction in herbivory, we studied the relationship between the intensity of the damages caused by insects to host trees and the diversity in the neighbourhood of host trees in a linear regression. We estimated the intensity of insect attacks as the ratio between the mean growth of trees outside insect outbreak periods and their growth during insect outbreaks. We estimated diversity around trees using the Shannon diversity index which measured diversity as a function of species proportion (p_i) in the community. For i = 1, ..., s species within a radius (R = 20 m) around a tree, the Shannon diversity index H was given by:

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \ln(p_i) \qquad \text{eqn 4}$$

where $p_i = ba_i/BA$, with ba_i being the basal area of species *i* in the neighbourhood and *BA* being the total basal area in the

neighbourhood. We conducted this analysis for trees belonging to the three species susceptible to insect attacks in our sites (*A. balsamea*, *Picea glauca* and *P. tremuloides*). We expected trees growing in diverse neighbourhoods to be less affected by insect outbreaks.

Results

Models describing *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} and cov(i,j) as a function of mixture (eqn 3) showed the same trends in both the 1953–2013 (Table 2) and 1993–2013 (see Table S1, Supporting information) periods. *TS* was significantly higher for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to the same species, indicating a stabilizing effect of species mixture (i.e. diversity) on growth (Fig. 3 and Table 2). In contrast, μ_{pair} (Fig. S1), σ^2_{pair} (Fig. S2) and cov(i,j) (Fig. S3) were significantly lower for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to different species than for pairs of individuals belonging to the same species, as indicated by the negative and significant parameters associated with the *MIX* variable in the model (Table 2).

Insect outbreaks amplified the effect of mixture on *TS*, σ_{pair}^2 and cov(i,j). The stabilizing effect of mixture was higher when the signal from insect outbreaks was preserved in the chronologies (*MIX* = 0.80) as compared to chronologies with no insect outbreak signal (*MIX* = 0.52; Table 2). The negative effect of mixture on σ_{pair}^2 and cov(i,j) was stronger when insect outbreaks were preserved in the chronologies (*MIX* = -0.61, -0.15, respectively) as compared to chronologies without them (*MIX* = -0.44, -0.10, respectively; Table 2). In contrast, insect outbreaks slightly decreased the negative effect of mixture on μ_{pair} (Table 2).

Tree size increased TS (Table S1), although its effect was limited when the signal from insect outbreaks was preserved.

Pairs of large trees had higher μ_{pair} . Pairs composed of a small and a large tree had lower both cov(i,j) and σ^2_{pair} , as compared to pairs of trees of the same size (large or small). However, the effect of tree size on σ^2_{pair} was weak, about five to ten times lower than the effect of *MIX*.

Response functions showed that the climatic conditions (temperature, precipitation and drought code) of summer months (June-August) of the current growing season were the most influential to growth across species and sites (Table 3). In contrast, we found few significant correlations between species growth and climatic conditions of the autumn of the previous growing season and the early winter (October-February). The northernmost sites (D1823 and D1847) showed a more pronounced global effect of climatic conditions of summer months of the previous growing season on species growth than all of the other sites. We observed some asynchrony between conifers and deciduous species response to climate. For example, on the BIC site, while growth of all deciduous species significantly correlated with current summer drought (i.e. to drought code), this was not the case for balsam fir. Similarly, on the D1823 site, while all conifers growth significantly correlated with current summer drought, the growth of trembling aspen did not.

RDAs showed that the asynchrony of response to environmental fluctuations of individuals' belonging to different species contributed to the stabilizing effect of diversity by enabling growth compensation among individuals (Fig. 4). All RDAs were significant except RDAs performed on chronologies free from insect outbreak signals for the D1823 and D1847 sites (Fig. 4a). However, rather than a lack of correlation between environmental fluctuations and growth, this could be due to the relatively short period on which these

Table 2. Summary of the four linear models describing *TS*, μ_{pair} , σ^2_{pair} and cov(i,j) as a function of mixture, controlling for species and site effects on the 1953–2013 period. α is the mean of *TS* measures calculated on pairs of individuals comprising at least one white cedar on the ABI site. We ran the model both after removing insect outbreak periods from individual chronologies (a) and with insect outbreak periods included (b). Species are coded with their initials: Ab (*Abies balsamea*), Ar (*Acer rubrum*), As (*Acer saccharum*), Ba (*Betula alleghaniensis*), Pg (*Picea glauca*), Pt (*Populus tremuloides*)

	TS		σ^2_{pair}		μ _{pair}		cov(i,j)		
Reference mean and dummy variables	(a) Without insect outbreaks [†]	(b) With insect outbreaks [†]							
a (reference mean)	3.044***	2.847***	1.154***	1.293***	2.750***	2.692***	0.201***	0.244***	
MIX	0.516***	0.804***	-0.439***	-0.606***	-0.377***	-0.341***	-0.105***	-0.152***	
SITE _{BIC}	0.097***	0.077**	-0.395***	-0.364***	-0.534***	-0.476***	-0.105***	-0.022***	
SITE _{D1823}	0.068*	-0.123***	-0.370***	-0.276***	-0.473***	-0.477***	-0.030***	-0.012*	
SITE _{D1847}	0.252***	0.031 ns	-0.43***	-0.404***	-0.533***	0.570***	-0.037***	-0.021***	
SITE _{SUT}	-0.495***	-0.428***	0.119***	0.216***	-0.145***	-0.055*	-0.003 ns	0.001 ns	
SP _{Ab}	-0.063*	-0.551***	0.448***	0.566***	0.729***	0.582***	0.031***	0.060***	
SPAr	-0.407***	-0.699 ***	0.111***	0.216***	-0.032 ns	-0.059 **	0.003 ns	0.026***	
SP _{As}	-0.841***	-1.007***	0.066***	0.127***	-0.347***	-0.331***	-0.001 ns	0.014**	
SP _{Ba}	-0.317***	-0.505***	1.012***	1.077***	1.036***	1.020***	0.083***	0.098***	
SP _{Pg}	-0.629 ***	-0.697***	0.639***	0.631***	0.674***	0.622***	0.063***	0.076***	
SP _{Pt}	-0.316***	-0.736***	0.477***	0.722***	0.853***	0.844 ***	0.042***	0.079***	
Adjusted R^2	0.173	0.164	0.242	0.257	0.349	0.319	0.071	0.111	
P-value	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	<2·2e-16	

[†]Level of significance: ***<0.001; **<0.01; *<0.05; ns = not significant (>0.05).



Fig. 3. Site and species-specific distributions of *TS* values measured on paired individuals occurring in the same neighbourhoods. White boxes refer to distributions of *TS* values measured on individuals belonging to the same species, while grey boxes refer to distributions of *TS* values measured on individuals belonging to different species. Distributions were developed both after removing insect outbreak periods from individual chronologies (a) and with insect outbreak periods included (b). Labels indicate to which species the individuals belonged to for each distribution. Species are coded with their initials: Ab (*Abies balsamea*), Ar (*Acer rubrum*), As (*Acer saccharum*), Ba (*Betula alleghaniensis*), Pg (*Picea glauca*), Pt (*Populus tremuloides*), To (*Thuja occidentalis*).

RDAs were performed (24 and 29 years for the D1823 and D1847 sites, respectively), after removing the 4 years of forest tent caterpillar outbreak, the 17 years of spruce budworm outbreak, and years for which not all species had growth data for at least 30 individuals. Species-specific ellipses, however, overlapped broadly, despite distinct locations of centroids (i.e. distinct average responses), indicating that species could have close responses to environmental fluctuations. The explained variance for RDAs ranged from 8.6% to 25.6%, indicating that the variability of individuals' response to environmental fluctuations was high.

We found no significant relationship between the intensity of the damages caused by insects to host trees and the diversity in the neighbourhood of host trees (Table 4).

Discussion

Our results showed that diversity stabilized growth in forest ecosystems, supporting the H1 hypothesis. The stabilizing

effect of diversity stemmed from a higher growth asynchrony among individuals belonging to different species, which reduced the variance of the total growth of pairs of individuals, supporting the H2 hypothesis. The asynchrony of response to environmental fluctuations of trees belonging to different species contributed to the stabilizing effect of diversity, by controlling the growth asynchrony of trees, supporting the H3 hypothesis. However, the intraspecific variability of response to environmental fluctuations was high, generating a broad overlap of species responses despite differences in their average responses (Fig. 4). This demonstrates the interest of working at the individual level rather than at the species level. These results were persistent regardless of whether the forest was temperate or boreal mixed, and in the face of different types of environmental fluctuations (climatic fluctuations and insect outbreaks).

We demonstrated that in forest ecosystems, even when controlling for population dynamics, tree species diversity could stabilize productivity through the asynchrony of responses to

Table 3. Site and species-specific climatic variables identified by bootstrapped response function as having a significant correlation with growth: drought code (DC), temperature (T), precipitation (P), snowfall (S), growth season length (GSL). GSL in previous June correspond to the previous year GSL. The sign (+/-) indicates the direction of the correlation. Species are coded with their initials: Ab (*Abies balsamea*), Ar (*Acer rubrum*), As (*Acer saccharum*), Ba (*Betula alleghaniensis*), Pg (*Picea glauca*), Pt (*Populus tremuloides*), To (*Thuja occidentalis*)

		Previous	year						Curre	ent year						
Site	Sp	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug
D1823	Ab														DC-	
	Pg		DC-		DC+									P+	DC-	
	Pt			Τ-							S+					
	То									T-				T-		T-
D1847	Ab	P+										T+				
	Pg		P+									T+				
	Pt			DC-							S+					
	То	~ ~ ~		T-	S-				S-					T-		P+
ABI	Ab	GSL-											D.G.	-		-
	Pg					D							DC+	T–		T-
	10		T			P-					T I			1-		P+
	Ar		1-								1+		т	C	DC	DC
	As												1-	5-	DC- P+	DC-
BIC	Ab	GSL-			T-S+											
	Pt										S-			P+	DC-	
	Ar										S-			P+	DC-	
	As													P+	DC-	
SUT	Ab						S-							S+		
	As													DC-		
	Ba					P+						S+				

climatic fluctuations and insect outbreaks of individuals' belonging to different species. The asynchrony of individuals' response enabled growth compensation among individuals that ultimately produced a stabilizing effect. These results are consistent with previous studies in forest ecosystems (Jucker *et al.* 2014) and grassland communities (Tilman 1999; Isbell, Polley & Wilsey 2009; Hector *et al.* 2010), suggesting that the asynchrony of species response is a mechanism driving the stabilizing effect of diversity.

The stabilizing effect of species mixing was stronger in analyses including both climate and insect outbreak effects, as compared to the analyses operating on chronologies with insect signal removed. We explain that by species differences in their susceptibility to insects and the resulting asynchronized growth series. We speculate that the stabilizing effect of diversity could be further enhanced through (1) a reduction in the outbreakrelated mortality both for host and non-host species (Bouchard, Kneeshaw & Bergeron 2005), and (2) the increase in the abundance of the insect natural enemies, limiting herbivory (Cappuccino et al. 1998). However, higher neighbourhood diversity did not reduce the insect-induced growth decline of host species during outbreaks, as it has been shown earlier (Jactel & Brockerhoff 2007; Castagneyrol et al. 2013). This divergence of results could stem from a difference in the scale of observation. Previous studies were done at the stand level while our study was carried out on a smaller neighbourhood level. Good dispersal abilities of forest tent caterpillar and spruce budworm (Greenbank 1957) could make the induced damage depend on the availability of their host at the stand and regional scales rather than at the neighbourhood scale.

We found a negative effect of diversity on the mean of the total growth of tree pairs. This outcome is contrary to both theoretical predictions and empirical results (Tilman 1999; Yachi & Loreau 1999; Isbell, Polley & Wilsey 2009; Hector et al. 2010; Loreau & de Mazancourt 2013; de Mazancourt et al. 2013; Chamagne et al. in press), which have shown that diversity usually increases productivity, in particular through a better resource partitioning between species having different niches. The negative effect of diversity on the mean of the total growth of tree pairs could be an artefact arising due to the fact that we have trees of all sizes (Fig. 2). Radial growth typically initially increases with tree size before decreasing in larger trees. Comparing the total growth of a pair of intermediate-sized firs (growing rapidly) to a pair consisting of a fir and a birch, both of small size (growing slowly), for instance, would lead to the conclusion that diversity has a negative effect on growth, while it would actually be a size effect. Our analysis conducted on the 1993-2013 period confirmed that tree size influenced TS, essentially by impacting the mean growth of tree pairs. The wide range of tree sizes in our data therefore prevented us from concluding on the effect of diversity on the mean of the total growth of tree pairs. Nevertheless, the negative effect of diversity on the mean of the total growth of tree pairs indicates that diversity stabilized growth by reducing the total growth variance, and not because of a positive effect on the total growth mean.

The intraspecific variability of response to environmental fluctuations was high, leading to a highly variable effect of species mixture on *TS* among tree pairs. This variability could stem from interactions among individuals, such as



Fig. 4. Site-specific redundancy analysis (RDA) performed with individual standardized chronologies, climatic variables and binary variables indicating the presence of insects. Points correspond to individual chronologies. Species-specific ellipses containing 95% of species individuals are shown and identified with species initials: Ab (*Abies balsamea*), Ar (*Acer rubrum*), As (*Acer saccharum*), Ba (*Betula alleghaniensis*), Pg (*Picea glauca*), Pt (*Populus tremuloides*), To (*Thuja occidentalis*). Climate variables and binary variables indicating the presence of insects are represented by black arrows: drought code (DC), temperature (T), precipitation (P), snowfall (S), growth season length (GSL), forest tent caterpillar (FTC), spruce budworm (SBW). The numbers following the variables initials indicate the number of the month associated with the variable. Negative values refer to a month of the previous year. RDAs were performed both after removing insect outbreak periods from individual chronologies (a) and with insect outbreak periods included (b).

competition and facilitation, and the spatial heterogeneity of environmental conditions (Cescatti & Piutti 1998; Clark 2010; de Mazancourt *et al.* 2013). By modulating individuals' response to environmental fluctuations, these two factors would affect the growth variability of individuals, their growth covariance and, therefore, the variance in the total

Table 4. Regressions between the intensity of the damages caused by insects to host trees and the diversity in the host tree neighbourhood. Host species are coded with their initials: Ab (*Abies balsamea*), Pg (*Picea glauca*), Pt (*Populus tremuloides*)

	Ab	Pg	Pt
Intercept	2.366 [†] ***	2.553****	3·11 [†] ***
Slope	-0.093^{\dagger} ns	-0.554^{\dagger} ns	0.286^{\dagger} ns
Adjusted R^2	-0.004	0.024	0
P-value	0.763	0.07	0.307

[†]Level of significance: ***<0.001; ns = not significant (>0.05).

growth of tree pairs. This outcome is complementary to the findings of Morin et al. (2014) who demonstrated, using virtual experiments based on a forest succession model, that the stabilizing effect of diversity in forest ecosystems was mainly driven by the asynchrony of species response to small disturbances rather than to environmental fluctuations. In our mixed stands, most individuals were in immediate vicinity of trees of different species. Since pairs of individuals may interact with other individuals belonging to different species, our approach did not allow us to directly investigate the role of among-tree interactions on the stabilizing effect of diversity. This observation does not question the fact that interactions, size and microenvironment could modulate the stabilizing effect of diversity. Furthermore, we assume that no systematic bias due to neighbouring trees were introduced in the estimation of the effect of diversity on TS and its components, since trees were sampled randomly. Our study calls for further analyses of the mechanisms underlying the intraspecific variability of response to environmental fluctuations.

Our work highlights the value of working in forest communities to study the mechanisms driving the diversity-stability relationship. This is especially valuable since it gives us access to the individual level where growth compensation actually occurs, while eliminating the influence of population dynamics. We showed that diversity increased the stability of growth in forest ecosystems and that the asynchrony of response to environmental fluctuations of individuals' belonging to different species contributed to this stabilizing effect. Mechanisms at the origin of the variability of individuals' response, such as interactions between individuals and spatial heterogeneity of environmental conditions, could, therefore, play a crucial role in the stabilizing effect of diversity.

Author's contributions

R.A., Y.B., D.G. and I.D. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; R.A. and K.P. collected the data; R.A., C.G.M. and K.P. analysed the data; R.A. led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments. The study was part of the project 'Quantifying and mapping the impacts of climate change on the productivity of Eastern Canadian forests' supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC – Strategic grant to D.G.). Financial support was also provided by a NSERC Discovery grant to Y.B. and by a scholarship from the NSERC Collaborative Research and Training Experience Program to R.A. The study was conducted within the framework of the Nordic-Canadian network on forest growth research, which is supported by the Nordic Council of Ministers (grant to I.D.) and the International Consortium GDRI Cold Forests.

Data accessibility

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.55gb7 (Aussenac *et al.* 2017).

References

- Aussenac, R., Bergeron, Y., Ghotsa Mekontchou, C., Gravel, D., Pilch, K. & Drobyshev, I. (2017) Data from: Intraspecific variability in growth response to environmental fluctuations modulates the stabilizing effect of species diversity on forest growth. *Dryad Digital Repository*, http://dx.doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.55gb7.
- Bergeron, Y. (2000) Species and stand dynamics in the mixed woods of Quebec's southern boreal forest. *Ecology*, 81, 1500–1516.
- Bouchard, M., Kneeshaw, D. & Bergeron, Y. (2005) Mortality and stand renewal patterns following the last spruce budworm outbreak in mixed forests of western Quebec. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **204**, 297–313.
- Bunn, A.G. (2008) A dendrochronology program library in R (dplR). Dendrochronologia, 26, 115–124.
- Cappuccino, N., Lavertu, D., Bergeron, Y. & Régnière, J. (1998) Spruce budworm impact, abundance and parasitism rate in a patchy landscape. *Oecolo*gia, **114**, 236–242.
- Cardinale, B.J., Duffy, J.E., Gonzalez, A. et al. (2012) Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. Nature, 486, 59–67.
- Castagneyrol, B., Giffard, B., Péré, C. & Jactel, H. (2013) Plant apparency, an overlooked driver of associational resistance to insect herbivory. *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 418–429.
- Cescatti, A. & Piutti, E. (1998) Silvicultural alternatives, competition regime and sensitivity to climate in a European beech forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **102**, 213–223.
- Chamagne, J., Tanadini, M., Frank, D. *et al.* (in press) Forest diversity promotes individual tree growth in central European forest stands. *Journal of Applied Ecology*.
- Clark, J.S. (2010) Individuals and the variation needed for high species diversity in forest trees. *Science*, **327**, 1129–1132.
- Cook, E.R. (1987) The decomposition of tree-ring series for environmental studies. *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, 47, 37–59.
- Drobyshev, I., Gewehr, S., Berninger, F. & Bergeron, Y. (2013) Species specific growth responses of black spruce and trembling aspen may enhance resilience of boreal forest to climate change. *Journal of Ecology*, 101, 231–242.
- Fritts, H. (1976) Tree Rings and Climate. Academic Press Inc, London, UK.
- Girardin, M.P. & Wotton, B.M. (2009) Summer moisture and wildfire risks across Canada. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 48, 517–533.
- Gonzalez, A. & Loreau, M. (2009) The causes and consequences of compensatory dynamics in ecological communities. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 40, 393–414.
- Greenbank, D. (1957) The role of climate and dispersal in the initiation of outbreaks of the spruce budworm in New Brunswick: II. The role of dispersal. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **35**, 385–403.
- Guiot, J. (1991) The bootstrapped response function. *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, 51, 39-41.
- Hector, A., Hautier, Y., Saner, P. et al. (2010) General stabilizing effects of plant diversity on grassland productivity through population asynchrony and overyielding. Ecology, 91, 2213–2220.
- Hooper, D.U., Chapin lii, F., Ewel, J. et al. (2005) Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological Mono*graphs, **75**, 3–35.
- Hooper, D.U., Adair, E.C., Cardinale, B.J. *et al.* (2012) A global synthesis reveals biodiversity loss as a major driver of ecosystem change. *Nature*, 486, 105–108.
- Isbell, F.I., Polley, H.W. & Wilsey, B.J. (2009) Biodiversity, productivity and the temporal stability of productivity: patterns and processes. *Ecology Letters*, 12, 443–451.
- Jactel, H. & Brockerhoff, E.G. (2007) Tree diversity reduces herbivory by forest insects. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 835–848.

- Jucker, T., Bouriaud, O., Avacaritei, D. & Coomes, D.A. (2014) Stabilizing effects of diversity on aboveground wood production in forest ecosystems: linking patterns and processes. *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 1560–1569.
- Legendre, P. & Durand, S. (2012) rdaTest: Canonical redundancy analysis (R package version 1.7). Available at: http://adn.biol.umontreal.ca/~numericalec ology/Rcode/ (accessed 7 January 2017).
- Legendre, P. & Legendre, L.F. (2012) Numerical Ecology. Elsevier, Oxford, UK.
- Loreau, M. (2010) From Populations to Ecosystems: Theoretical Foundations for a New Ecological Synthesis (MPB-46). Princeton University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Loreau, M. & de Mazancourt, C. (2013) Biodiversity and ecosystem stability: a synthesis of underlying mechanisms. *Ecology Letters*, 16, 106–115.
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P. *et al.* (2001) Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, **294**, 804– 808.
- Mariotte, P., Vandenberghe, C., Kardol, P., Hagedorn, F. & Buttler, A. (2013) Subordinate plant species enhance community resistance against drought in semi-natural grasslands. *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 763–773.
- de Mazancourt, C., Isbell, F., Larocque, A. *et al.* (2013) Predicting ecosystem stability from community composition and biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 16, 617–625.
- Ministère des Forêts de la Faune et des Parcs, Direction de la protection des forêts (2015) Relevés aériens des dommages causés par les insectes. Available at: https://www.mffp.gouv.qc.ca/forets/fimaq/insectes/fimaq-insectes-por trait-releves.jsp (accessed 7 January 2017).
- Morin, H., Laprise, D., Simard, A.-A. & Amouch, S. (2009) Spruce budworm outbreak regimes in eastern North America. *Ecosystem Management in the Boreal Forest* (eds S. Gauthier, M.-A. Vaillancourt, A. Leduc, L. De Grandpré, D. Kneeshaw, H. Morin, P. Drapeau & Y. Bergeron), pp. 155–182. Presse de l'Université du Québec, Québec, QC, Canada.
- Morin, X., Fahse, L., Mazancourt, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M. & Bugmann, H. (2014) Temporal stability in forest productivity increases with tree diversity due to asynchrony in species dynamics. *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 1526–1535.
- Pretzsch, H. (2005) Diversity and productivity in forests: evidence from longterm experimental plots. *Forest Diversity and Function: Temperate and Boreal Systems* (eds M. Scherer-Lorenzen, C. Körner & E.-D. Schulze), pp. 41– 64. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Pretzsch, H., Schütze, G. & Uhl, E. (2013) Resistance of European tree species to drought stress in mixed versus pure forests: evidence of stress release by inter-specific facilitation. *Plant Biology*, **15**, 483–495.
- Régnière, J. (1996) Generalized approach to landscape-wide seasonal forecasting with temperature-driven simulation models. *Environmental Entomology*, 25, 869–881.
- Régnière, J. & St-Amant, R. (2007) Stochastic simulation of daily air temperature and precipitation from monthly normals in North America north of Mexico. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **51**, 415–430.
- Rozas, V., Lamas, S. & García-González, I. (2009) Differential tree-growth responses to local and large-scale climatic variation in two Pinus and two Quercus species in northwest Spain. *Ecoscience*, 16, 299–310.

- Schweingruber, F.H. (1996) *Tree Rings and Environment: Dendroecology*. Paul Haupt AG Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
- Speer, J.H. (2010) Fundamentals of Tree-Ring Research. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, USA.
- Stokes, M.A. & Smiley, T.L. (1996) An Introduction to Tree-Ring Dating. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, USA.
- Sutton, A., & Tardif, J.C. (2009) Forest tent caterpillar outbreaks dynamics from Manitoba to New Brunswick. *Ecosystem Management in the Boreal Forest* (eds S. Gauthier, M.-A. Vaillancourt, A. Leduc, L. De Grandpré, D. Kneeshaw, H. Morin, P. Drapeau & Y. Bergeron), pp. 183–200. Presse de l'Université du Québec, Québec, QC, Canada.
- Tilman, D. (1999) The ecological consequences of changes in biodiversity: a search for general principles. *Ecology*, 80, 1455–1474.
- Veillette, J. (1994) Evolution and paleohydrology of glacial lakes Barlow and Ojibway. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 13, 945–971.
- Yachi, S. & Loreau, M. (1999) Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating environment: the insurance hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, **96**, 1463–1468.
- Zang, C. & Biondi, F. (2015) treeclim: an R package for the numerical calibration of proxy-climate relationships. *Ecography*, 38, 431–436.

Received 27 June 2016; accepted 15 December 2016 Handling Editor: Andrew Hector

Supporting Information

Details of electronic Supporting Information are provided below.

Fig. S1. Site and species-specific distributions of the mean of the total growth of individuals measured on paired individuals occurring in the same neighbourhoods.

Fig. S2. Site and species-specific distributions of the variance of the total growth of individuals measured on paired individuals occurring in the same neighbourhoods.

Fig. S3. Site and species-specific distributions of growth covariance among individuals measured on paired individuals occurring in the same neighbourhoods.

Table S1. Summary of the four linear models describing *TS*, σ^2_{pair} , μ_{pair} and cov(i,j) as a function of mixture, controlling for species, tree size and sites effects over 1993–2013.